



WALLACE H. COULTER SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
Technology Serving Humanity

MEMORANDUM

Subject: Progress Report

ULI: FY12 Q3 Progress Report (4/1/2012–6/30/2012)

This document provides a progress report on the project “Advanced Digital Signal Processing” covering the period of 4/1/2012–6/30/2012.

20150309465



Revolutionary Research . . . Relevant Results

ONR Sponsor: Daniel Tam

ONR Code 333

Telephone: 703-696-4204

E-mail: daniel.tam1@navy.mil



Advanced Digital Signal Processing for Hybrid Lidar

Navy Lab mentor: Dr. Linda Mullen

Address: 22347 Cedar Point Rd, Patuxent River, MD

Telephone: 301-342-2021

E-mail: linda.mullen@navy.mil

University advisor: Dr. William Jemison

Address: P.O. Box 5720 Potsdam, New York 13699

Telephone: 315-268-6509

E-mail: wjemison@clarkson.edu

Presented to:

Annual ULI program review attendees

June 6, 2012

Presented by:

Mr. Paul Perez

Clarkson University



Outline

- Background and Objectives
- Approach and Challenges
- Light Propagation in Water
- Progress
 - Underwater laser range finder
 - A New Backscatter Reduction Approach
- Summary




Background and Objectives

Background

The Navy uses hybrid lidar-radar for underwater detection, ranging, communications, and imaging.

- Modulate the lidar laser light intensity with radar waveforms
- Recover the radar waveform from the received lidar optical signal
- Use coherent detection and other radar techniques to process the signal.



Objectives

To enhance hybrid lidar-radar performance:

- Develop and evaluate various digital signal processing (DSP) algorithms that will enhance the Hybrid Lidar-Radar performance.
- Implement the algorithms via DSP hardware
 - dynamically reconfigured via software (accomplish multiple missions with a single sensor)
 - real-time processing
 - reduced loss/temperature sensitivity

DSP Advantages

- **Component Availability/Cost**
- **Component Sensitivity/Performance**
- **Adaptability**
- **Real Time Processing**
- **Borrow waveforms/algorithms from RADAR.**




Approach and Challenges



Approach

- Leverage known radar processing techniques
- Use existing performance prediction models to generate data for multiple scenarios (system geometry/configuration, water optical properties, etc.)
- Use data to test the performance of DSP algorithms
- Compare results with experimental data
- Use COTS DSP, FPGAs, and Software Defined Radio (SDR) hardware to accelerate development and minimize cost



Rangefinder – used to generate hybrid lidar-radar signals for DSP algorithm verification

Principle Problems/Challenges

- Many COTS DSP hardware platforms are suitable for communications but lack performance for detection and ranging
- Radar propagation channel and the lidar propagation channel are very different




COTS Software Defined Radio


Evaluating performance of two COTS Software Defined Radios (Signal hound vs. COMBLOCK).



Light propagation in water



Wavelength Selection



Absorption vs. Scattering Limited Performance




Scatter-limited
detection – more light,
more 'clutter'



Absorption-limited
detection – more light,
more range

Modulation Frequency



- Absorption decreases total signal level at the receiver
- Scattering degrades image contrast, resolution, and reduces range accuracy



Progress and Activity



Project Start: June 1st 2011

Summer 2011 & Fall 2011 (laser rangefinder)

- Participated in the ONR NREIP program at NAWCAD
- Assisted with water tank experiments
 - Resulted in SPIE publication/poster presentation
 - "Underwater Laser Rangefinder," Proceedings of SPIE, Ocean Sensing and Monitoring, Volume 8372
- Characterized Software Defined Radios

Spring 2012 (backscatter reduction)


- Became familiar with Navy Rangefinder simulation tool
- Identified new backscatter reduction technique
- Preliminary validation of backscatter reduction technique using simulation data from Rangefinder

Summer 2012 (planned)


- Participate in the ONR NREIP program at NAWCAD
- Thorough evaluation of backscatter reduction technique
- Validate backscatter reduction technique with laboratory experiments




Laser Rangefinder Results





Experiment



$f_m = 180\text{MHz}$



Simulation



Data shown presented in
SPIE paper:
“Underwater Laser
Rangefinder,”
Proceedings of SPIE,
Ocean Sensing and
Monitoring, Volume 8372

Experimental results
show only the mean
values to compare
with model predictions
Range error as a function
of integration time is
reported in the paper


$$c = 1.6 \text{ m}^{-1}$$




A New Backscatter Reduction Approach




Leverage Techniques Developed for Through the Wall Imaging (TTWI) Radar




TTWI – unwanted returns from the wall





Wall return is independent of antenna position
Target return phase varies with antenna position



Hybrid Lidar – unwanted returns from backscatter (BSN)





Backscatter is independent of receiver position
Target return phase varies with receiver position





Spatial Frequency Filters

- There are a variety of spatial filters that have been developed for radar
 - Single delay line, multiple delay lines
 - Recursive, feed forward
 - etc.
- Selected single delay line for proof-of-concept
 - Simple and easy to implement
 - Derived the filter response as a function of delay and water attenuation coefficient
 - Investigated backscatter reduction in high turbidity conditions (2.4m^{-1}) at 100, 500, 1000 MHz.






Delay Line Filter Transfer Function

Derived delay line filter response:

$$|G(c, \Delta z)| = \sqrt{1 + e^{-2c\Delta z} - 2e^{-2c\Delta z} \cos(k\Delta z)}$$

- Good agreement between analytical and simulated response






Backscatter Reduction Simulation

$$f_{\text{mod}} = 100 \text{ MHz}; \Delta z = 1.13\text{m}; c = 2.4\text{m}^{-1}$$




~30dB backscatter reduction; ~3m improvement in range;





Range Performance

$f_{\text{mod}} = 100 \text{ MHz}$; $\Delta z = 1.13 \text{ m}$; $c = 2.4 \text{ m}^{-1}$






Backscatter Reduction Simulation

$$f_{\text{mod}} = 500 \text{ MHz}; \Delta z = 0.226 \text{ m}; c = 2.4 \text{ m}^{-1}$$




~38 dB backscatter reduction; ~4.5 m improvement in range;





Range Performance

$f_{\text{mod}} = 500 \text{ MHz}$; $\Delta z = 0.226 \text{ m}$; $c = 2.4 \text{ m}^{-1}$






Backscatter Reduction Simulation

$$f_{\text{mod}} = 1000 \text{ MHz}; \Delta z = 0.113 \text{ m}; c = 2.4 \text{ m}^{-1}$$

~38dB backscatter reduction; ~4 m improvement in range;


~ 4 m





Range Performance

$$f_{\text{mod}} = 1000 \text{ MHz}; \Delta z = 0.113 \text{ m}; c = 2.4 \text{ m}^{-1}$$





Summary



- Experience gained in summer 2011 internship at NAWCAD:
 - Gained background in underwater optics
 - Learned basics of RF modulation/demodulation via digital components
 - Performed initial experiments that led to SPIE publication/poster presentation
- Accomplishments during 2011-2012 academic year:
 - Courses taken/knowledge gained: Signal Processing
 - Characterized a commercial SDR and concluded that it is convenient to interface with an SDR to obtain the needed data for ranging calculations.
 - Became familiar with Rangefinder simulation tool
 - Identified a new backscatter reduction technique that will improve range calculations.
- Future plans:
 - 2012 Summer internship at NAWCAD – experimental validation of delay line predictions
 - Participate in the student poster competition at the 2012 MTS/IEEE Oceans Conference (October, 2012)
 - Courses planned: Signal Processing, Software Defined Radio